Anomaly Detection Dimensionality reduction: Autoencoders

Paul Irofti Andrei Pătrașcu Cristian Rusu

Computer Science Department Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Bucharest Email: first.last@fmi.unibuc.ro



- Autoencoder architecture
- Convolutional autoencoders (CAE)
- Sparse autoencoder (SAE)
- Variational autoencoders (VAE)
- Connections with other methods

The course main references are Kramer 1991 and Dumoulin and Visin 2016.



Preliminaries



Dimensionality Reduction



Convolution





Autoencoders





• encoder $E : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^s$ such that $z = E(x) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ where $s \ll m$



• encoder $E : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^s$ such that $z = E(x) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ where $s \ll m$

▶ the subspace, latent space, embedding $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$



• encoder $E : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^s$ such that $z = E(x) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ where $s \ll m$

▶ the subspace, latent space, embedding $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$

• decoder $D: \mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $x' = D(z) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

whose aim is to find the true subspace Z for X such that $x \approx D(E(x)), \ \forall x \in X$.



• encoder $E : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^s$ such that $z = E(x) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ where $s \ll m$

▶ the subspace, latent space, embedding $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{s}$

• decoder $D: \mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $x' = D(z) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

whose aim is to find the true subspace Z for X such that $x \approx D(E(x)), \ \forall x \in X$.

Remark: this setting can be solved by any dimensionality reduction method (ex. EVD, SVD, PCA, R-PCA etc.).



In the context of Neural Networks, we want to optimize the weights ω of the activation functions.



In the context of Neural Networks, we want to optimize the weights ω of the activation functions.

Our loss becomes

$$\{\omega_E^{\star}, \omega_D^{\star}\} = \arg\min_{\omega_E, \omega_D} \|X - D_{\omega_D}(E_{\omega_E}(X))\|$$
(1)

where the ℓ_2 penalty can be replaced by other distance functions.



In the context of Neural Networks, we want to optimize the weights ω of the activation functions.

Our loss becomes

$$\{\omega_E^{\star}, \omega_D^{\star}\} = \arg\min_{\omega_E, \omega_D} \|X - D_{\omega_D}(E_{\omega_E}(X))\|$$
(1)

where the ℓ_2 penalty can be replaced by other distance functions.

Remark: anomalies are the data whose reconstruction error ||x - x'|| is high due to the new subspace.



AE: Architecture





Figure: Autoencoder architecture proposed in (Kramer 1991)

Convolutional Autoencoders



Convolutional Autoencoders (CAE)

Convolutional Autoencoders use convolutional and pool layers in the neural network architecture.



Figure: CAE for anomaly detection in videos (Ribeiro, Lazzaretti, and Lopes 2018)



Convolution Padding

Replication Padding

5	5	0	8	7	8	1	1
5	5	0	8	7	8	1	1
1	1	9	5	0	7	7	7
6	6	0	2	4	6	6	6
9	9	7	6	6	8	4	4
8	8	3	8	5	1	3	3
7	7	2	7	0	1	0	0
7	7	2	7	0	1	0	0

Reflection Padding								
9	1	9	5	0	7	7	7	
0	5	0	8	7	8	1	8	
9	1	9	5	0	7	7	7	
0	6	0	2	4	6	6	6	
7	9	7	6	6	8	4	8	
3	8	3	8	5	1	3	1	
2	7	2	7	0	1	0	1	
3	8	3	8	5	1	3	1	

Circular Padding

0	7	2	7	0	1	0	7
1	5	0	8	7	8	1	5
7	1	9	5	0	7	7	1
6	6	0	2	4	6	6	6
4	9	7	6	6	8	4	9
3	8	3	8	5	1	3	8
0	7	2	7	0	1	0	7
1	5	0	8	7	8	1	5

Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pooling_layer



Convolution Max Pooling



Source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pooling_layer



CAE: Average Pooling

3	3	2	1	0
			3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

_		
1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0
			3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2		0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0	
0	0	1	3	1	
3	1	2	2	3	
2	0	0	2	2	
2	0	0	0	1	

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3		2	2	3
2		0	2	2
2		0	0	1

1.7	1.7	1.7
1.0	1.2	1.8
1.1	0.8	1.3







Figure: CAE with average pooling (Dumoulin and Visin 2016)

CAE: Max Pooling

3	3	2	1	0
			3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
			3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2			2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	$^{2.0}$	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	
2	0	0	2	2
2	0	0	0	1

3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

3	3	2	1	0
0	0	1	3	1
3	1	2	2	3
2		0	2	2
2		0	0	1







3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	3.0	3.0
3.0	2.0	3.0

Figure: CAE with max pooling (Dumoulin and Visin 2016)



CAE: Deconvolution is Transposed Convolution



Figure: CAE with transposed convolution (Dumoulin and Visin 2016)

Remark: this includes a convolution and upscaling operation that are sometimes termed "deconv" and "unpool".



CAE: Transposed Convolution with Stride



Figure: CAE transposed convolution with stride (Dumoulin and Visin 2016)



Sparse Autoencoders



Sparse Autoencoders (SAE)

Sparse autoencoders enforce sparsity in the mid-layer representations thus allowing subspaces of size $\tilde{m} > m$ but with at most $k < m < \tilde{m}$ non-zeros.



Figure: SAE with overcomplete layer (Dutta et al. 2018)



k-Spare Autoencoders Makhzani and Frey 2013 compute the activation function in each node and then select the k largest values

$$x^{(\ell)} = \max_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\omega_{\ell}}(x^{(\ell-1)}) \tag{2}$$

where \max_k is the function selecting the top-k values in x whose associated support is \sup_k .



Sparse regularized autoencoders add regularization to the AE problem

$$\arg\min_{\omega_E,\omega_D} \|X - D_{\omega_D}(E_{\omega_E}(X))\| + \lambda \phi(X)$$
(3)

where $\phi(X)$ is a sparse inducing penalty usually applied at each layer.



Sparse regularized autoencoders add regularization to the AE problem

$$\arg\min_{\omega_E,\omega_D} \|X - D_{\omega_D}(E_{\omega_E}(X))\| + \lambda \phi(X)$$
(3)

where $\phi(X)$ is a sparse inducing penalty usually applied at each layer.

The ℓ_1 convex norm is a popular candidate for $\phi(\cdot)$ such that at each layer we have

$$\phi(X) = \sum_{\ell} \omega_{\ell} \left\| \varphi(X^{\ell-1}) \right\|_{1}$$
(4)



Variational autoencoders (Kingma 2013) model input vector x as a mixture of (Gaussian) distributions.





The resulting autoencoder subspace representations z or final representations x' are coupled with existing methods to enhance anomaly detection performance.



Given dataset X and autoencoder (E, D) with weights ω , DeepSVDD (Ruff et al. 2018) formulates the soft-boundary as

$$\min_{R,\omega} R^2 + \frac{1}{\nu N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max\{0, \|D(E(X)) - c\|^2 - R^2\} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\ell} \|\omega^{\ell}\|_F^2$$
(5)



Given dataset X and autoencoder (E, D) with weights ω , DeepSVDD (Ruff et al. 2018) formulates the soft-boundary as

$$\min_{R,\omega} R^2 + \frac{1}{\nu N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max\{0, \|D(E(X)) - c\|^2 - R^2\} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\ell} \|\omega^{\ell}\|_{F}^2$$
(5)

For unbalanced datasets, where we have more normal data than anomalies, the authors propose a simplified one-class objective

$$\min_{\omega} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|D(E(X)) - c\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{\ell} \left\|\omega^{\ell}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$
(6)



DeepOCSVM: AE and OC-SVM



Figure: DeepOCSVM architecture with Fourier Features (Nguyen and Vien 2019)

The DeepOCSVM loss is

$$\alpha \|X - D(E(X))\| + \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \rho + \frac{1}{\nu N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \max\{0, \rho - w^{\top} z(x_i)\}$$
(7)



where $z(\cdot)$ is the Random Fourier Features (RFF) function.

References

Dumoulin, Vincent and Francesco Visin (2016). "A guide to convolution arithmetic for deep learning". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.07285.
Dutta, Sanghamitra et al. (2018). "A unified coded deep neural network training strategy based on generalized polydot codes". In: 2018 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT). IEEE, pp. 1585–1589.

- Kingma, Diederik P (2013). "Auto-encoding variational bayes". In: *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1312.6114.
- Kramer, Mark A (1991). "Nonlinear principal component analysis using autoassociative neural networks". In: AIChE journal 37.2, pp. 233–243.
- Makhzani, Alireza and Brendan Frey (2013). "K-sparse autoencoders". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5663*.
- Nguyen, Minh-Nghia and Ngo Anh Vien (2019). "Scalable and interpretable one-class svms with deep learning and random fourier features". In: *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases: European Conference, ECML PKDD 2018, Dublin, Ireland, September 10–14, 2018, Proceedings, Part I 18.* Springer, pp. 157–172.

Ribeiro Manassés André Eugênio Lazzaretti and Heitor Silvério Lopes

